

Rating Update: Moody's affirms Aa1 on Dallas County Community College District's Revenue Bonds; outlook stable

Global Credit Research - 29 Jul 2013

\$21.5M debt affected

DALLAS COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT, TX Community Colleges (Revenue-Backed) TX

Opinion

NEW YORK, July 29, 2013 --Moody's Investors Service has affirmed the Aa1 rating on Dallas County Community College District's (DCCCD) \$21.5 million of fixed rate Revenue Financing System Refunding Bonds, Series 2006. For information on the college's general obligation bond rating, please see Moody's report dated July 29, 2013. The rating outlook is stable. The rating reflects the college's sizeable enrollment and operations, prime location in a demographically robust county, and relatively strong unrestricted financial resources..

SUMMARY RATING RATIONALE

The Aa1 rating reflects the college's sizeable enrollment, location in the demographically vibrant Dallas-Fort Worth metropolitan service area, large and consistently positive operations and cash flow, and demonstrated willingness to leverage available expense flexibility.

The stable outlook is based on the expectation that DCCCD's operations will remain healthy due to strong management and fiscal practices despite projected enrollment declines as the economy improves and the resulting challenge to grow revenue.

STRENGTHS

*Large and diversified \$387.1 million operating revenue base in FY 2012, which can insulate the college from declines in state appropriations, fluctuations in tax revenues, and cyclicality of community college enrollment with tuition.

*Very strong market position as the provider of two-year education in Dallas, Texas (rated Aaa).

- *Relatively large unrestricted financial resources with \$185.1 million of unrestricted financial resources and \$204.4 million of unrestricted monthly liquidity.
- *Significant headroom to increase tax rates expressly for debt service and maintenance and operations (M&O), and willingness to do so as evidenced by a \$0.02 increase in M&O tax rate in FY 2013.
- *Seasoned management team with strong, forward looking budgeting process and prudent fiscal management evidenced by the execution of substantial expense reductions in FY 2012, and increases in revenue streams in FY 2013 and beyond.

CHALLENGES

- *Softening student demand as the economy improves and more students return to the labor force. Some competition for students exists from regional two- and four-year public institutions.
- *Declining state appropriations pressure operating revenue, with state appropriations declining modestly to 28.2% of total operating revenue in FY 2012 from 33.7% of operating revenue in FY 2008.
- *Highly leveraged, with 1.0 times debt to operating revenue, and expendable financial resources of \$190.4 million in FY 2012 cushioning \$395.7 million of total debt (includes revenue bonds, and general obligation bonds) by just 0.48 times.

DETAILED CREDIT DISCUSSION

LEGAL SECURITY: The revenue bonds' security is provided by a senior lien on Pledged Revenues, which include student tuition and fees. The district is required by the rate covenant to collect revenues equal to 1.25 times annual debt service. There is no debt service reserve fund. Fiscal 2013 pledged revenues of \$21.5 million provide ample 7.4 times coverage of principal and interest of \$2.9 million. The fiscal 2012 coverage ratio was 7.0 times.

DEBT STRUCTURE: All of the college's debt is fixed rate. Of DCCCD's \$400.3 million total rated debt, nearly all are GO and only \$21.5 million are revenue bonds.

DEBT-RELATED DERIVATIVES: None.

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS/RESULTS:

Enrollment at DCCCD is expected to decline approximately 2.5% in fall 2013 from the district's enrollment peak of 45,388 FTEs in fall 2012. The state of Texas as a whole is experiencing a 3% enrollment decline across community college's as the economy improves and prospective students return to the labor market. The college is faced with the cyclicality of enrollment with higher enrollment typically occurring when the economy is soft and jobs are not easily available. DCCCD already experienced a slight decline in enrollment in spring 2013. While the college will be challenged to stabilize enrollment in the near-term, we expect management will maintain stable operating performance through prudent expense management and revenue increases unrelated to enrollment fluctuations.

DCCCD's positive operations and solid cash flow are expected to continue as a result of managements forward looking and conservative budgeting process. Total operating revenues declined in FY 2012 to \$387.1 million from \$405.7 million the prior year. This is attributable to a \$10 million decline in state revenues, \$1.5 million decline in tax revenues, and an increase in scholarship aid. To offset the 4.6% revenue loss, the district reduced operating expenses by 9.4% through voluntary retirement incentives, reduced faculty formula pay, and reductions in travel expenses. Management's swift and prudent fiscal actions resulted in improved operations in FY 2012 relative to FY 2011, with operating margin increasing to 6.2% from 1.2%, and operating cash flow increasing to 17.3% from 12.%. The district benefits from a diversified revenue stream that insulates it from total revenue declines when one revenue source is challenged. Operating revenue is derived from property taxes (40.5%), state appropriations (28.2%) and student charges and auxiliaries (20.9%).

To bolster total revenue in light of declining state appropriations and slower enrollment growth in FY 2013 (ending August 31) and beyond, district Board of Trustees approved a two-cent tax increase, and the college implemented a tuition increase of \$7 per credit hour. These actions will result in additional revenue of \$38.3 million in FY 2013. Additional tuition increases in FYs 2014-2016 will culminate with \$55.8 million of additional revenue in FY 2016. State appropriations are projected to decrease slightly in FYs 2014 and 2015, however the decrease in appropriations is more than offset by the projected increase in tax revenues.

In FY 2013, the district levied \$0.95 per \$1,000 of assessed valuation, \$0.80 for maintenance and operations (M&O) and \$0.17 for debt service. The current levies are well below the voter approved maximum of \$1.60 per \$1,000 for M&O and statutory cap of \$5.00 per \$1,000 for debt service, providing the district with ample revenue flexibility. Management expects a 4% increase in the tax base for FY 2014.

DCCCD's unrestricted financial resources and liquidity are very strong relative to other community colleges in the state. Board-adopted policy requires the district to maintain no less than four months and no more than six months of budgeted operating expenses in unrestricted reserves; reserves in excess of four months of budgeted expenses may be utilized for one-time capital projects. Unrestricted net assets have nearly recovered to their pre-recession peak to \$185.1 million in FY 2012 compared to \$186.3 million in FY 2009. The district maintained \$204.4 million of unrestricted monthly liquidity in FY 2012, which equates to a strong 221 days cash on hand.

The district has approximately \$86 million of deferred maintenance that it plans to address over the coming years. None of the projects exceed \$5 million. The district has also identified needed renovations to science, technology, engineering, and math facilities that total \$25 million, and an additional \$95 million of capital to improve the districts arts facilities. The district may issue debt for some of these projects within the next five years, but timing and debt amounts have yet to be finalized.

The district's chancellor of nearly 28 years will retire in December, 2013 when his contract expires. The chancellor will stay with the district until his replacement is hired. The district's foundation president left in fall 2012, and

management expects the new chancellor to play a vital role in hiring the next foundation president.

OUTLOOK

The stable outlook reflects the district's location in the demographically and economically vibrant Dallas-Fort Worth metropolitan service area, with a substantial revenue base supported by diverse revenue streams, which insulate the district from shifts in the state economy, tax base, and student market. The stable outlook is further supported by the prudent fiscal management of the district's finances as evidenced by strengthening operations in light of revenue declines.

WHAT COULD MAKE THE RATING GO UP

A substantial growth in financial resources absent additional debt issuance, sustained improvement in operating margins or cash flow.

WHAT COULD MAKE THE RATING GO DOWN

Additional revenue debt issuance without commensurate financial resource growth, failure of district to control or cut expenses if there is a sustained decline in enrollment, decline in financial resources or significant deterioration of operating margins could negatively pressure the rating.

KEY INDICATORS (FY 2012 financial data, fall 2012 enrollment data)

Full-Time Equivalent Enrollment: 45,388 students

Net Tuition per Student: \$1,770

Educational Expenses per Student: \$8,264

Average Gifts per Student \$5

Total Cash and Investments: \$218.2 million

Total Direct Debt: \$395.7 million

Total Comprehensive Debt*: \$400.3 million

Expendable Financial Resources to Direct Debt: 0.48 times
Expendable Financial Resources to Operations: 0.52 times

Monthly Days Cash on Hand: 221.4 days

Operating Revenue: \$387.1 million
Operating Cash Flow Margin: 17.3%

Three-Year Average Debt Service Coverage: 1.3 times

Reliance on Tax Revenue (% of Moody's Adjusted Operating Revenue): 40.5%

Reliance on Government Appropriations (% of Moody's Adjusted Operating Revenue): 28.2%

State of Texas Rating: Aaa stable

* Comprehensive Debt includes direct debt, operating leases, and pension obligation, if applicable.

RATED DEBT

General Obligation Bonds: Series 2008, Series 2009, Series 2010, Series 2010 (refunding): Aaa

Revenue Bonds: Series 2006: Aa1

METHODOLOGY

The principal methodology used in this rating was Moody's Approach for Evaluating Community Colleges

published in December 1999. Please see the Credit Policy page on www.moodys.com for a copy of this methodology.

REGULATORY DISCLOSURES

For ratings issued on a program, series or category/class of debt, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to each rating of a subsequently issued bond or note of the same series or category/class of debt or pursuant to a program for which the ratings are derived exclusively from existing ratings in accordance with Moody's rating practices. For ratings issued on a support provider, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the rating action on the support provider and in relation to each particular rating action for securities that derive their credit ratings from the support provider's credit rating. For provisional ratings, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the provisional rating assigned, and in relation to a definitive rating that may be assigned subsequent to the final issuance of the debt, in each case where the transaction structure and terms have not changed prior to the assignment of the definitive rating in a manner that would have affected the rating. For further information please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page for the respective issuer on www.moodys.com.

Regulatory disclosures contained in this press release apply to the credit rating and, if applicable, the related rating outlook or rating review.

Please see www.moodys.com for any updates on changes to the lead rating analyst and to the Moody's legal entity that has issued the rating.

Please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moodys.com for additional regulatory disclosures for each credit rating.

Analysts

Caitlin Bertha Lead Analyst Public Finance Group Moody's Investors Service

Adebola Kushimo Backup Analyst Public Finance Group Moody's Investors Service

Jenny Lin Maloney Additional Contact Public Finance Group Moody's Investors Service

Contacts

Journalists: (212) 553-0376 Research Clients: (212) 553-1653

Moody's Investors Service, Inc. 250 Greenwich Street New York, NY 10007 USA



© 2013 Moody's Investors Service, Inc. and/or its licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. ("MIS") AND ITS AFFILIATES ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW. INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO. COPYRIGHT LAW. AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT. All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources Moody's considers to be reliable, including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process. Under no circumstances shall MOODY'S have any liability to any person or entity for (a) any loss or damage in whole or in part caused by, resulting from, or relating to, any error (negligent or otherwise) or other circumstance or contingency within or outside the control of MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees or agents in connection with the procurement, collection, compilation, analysis, interpretation, communication, publication or delivery of any such information, or (b) any direct, indirect, special, consequential, compensatory or incidental damages whatsoever (including without limitation, lost profits), even if MOODY'S is advised in advance of the possibility of such damages, resulting from the use of or inability to use, any such information. The ratings, financial reporting analysis, projections, and other observations, if any, constituting part of the information contained herein are, and must be construed solely as, statements of opinion and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, sell or hold any securities. Each user of the information contained herein must make its own study and evaluation of each security it may consider purchasing, holding or selling. NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR ÍMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURÁCY, TÍMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

MIS, a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and

preferred stock rated by MIS have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MIS for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moodys.com under the heading "Shareholder Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

For Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail clients. It would be dangerous for retail clients to make any investment decision based on MOODY'S credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.